3
D

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CG)

WRIT PETITION NO. 5655 /2005

PETITIONER

Kanhaiyalal Majhi, aged about 32 years, son of Late Shamnath Majhi, Assistant Teacher, Balak Ashram -- Kandari, Vikash Khand Orchha & Shankul Shaikshik Samanvayak, Kurusnar, Vikash Khand Orchha, Tah. Narayanpur, Distt. Bastar (C.G.)

P.R. No. 5386/05
Presented by A.N. Bhakta
dated 8.11.05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through – the Secretary, Department of Triable, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Director, Rajiv Gandhi Mission, Raipur (C.G.)
3. Collector, Distt. Bastar (C.G.)
4. Asst Commissioner, Tribal Welfare, Bastar Jagdalpur (C.G.)
5. Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat, Narayanpur, District Bastar (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
8/11/05
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

8/11/05

4
2

6. Block Education Officer,
Narayanpur, Distt. Bastar
(CG)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA,

XI-HC-22

(26)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

WP 5655/05

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	~~8-12-2005~~ Shri A.N. Bhakta, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the State. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred from Balak Ashram, Kandari to Kanya Ashram, Orchha vide order dated 16-9-2005 (Annexure-P/1). He further submits that during the ban period the petitioner has been transferred that too without seeking any coordination and prior approval of the Chief Minister. He also submits that due to some political vendetta the petitioner has been transferred. Shri Bhakta, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that for the present ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the respondent authorities to decide the representation of the petitioner. The prayer is not opposed by the State counsel. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case the petitioner is directed to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of respondents alongwith a copy of the petition and this order within a period of two weeks from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that till date the petitioner has not been relieved. He prays for grant of interim relief. In view of the above, it is directed that if the petitioner is not relieved he may be allowed to continue till the representation is decided. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.).P.No.4477/2005 & I.A.No.9817/2005 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Pathak 14/12/05 10/5/06	**Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**

[पीछे देखिये